

# Standardizing and Automating Digital Geologic-GIS Map Symbolization

James R. Chappell, Stephanie A. O'Meara, James R.H. Winter and Ronald D. Karpilo Jr.  
Colorado State University, Department of Geosciences

## 1 Introduction

To date the GRI has produced approximately 800 digital geologic-GIS maps. For each of these maps the depiction or symbolization of geologic features (actual, observed, or measured) has been primarily derived from a feature's source map (see Figure 1 for an example of a geologic map legend/explanation).

As a result of this approach the symbolization of geologic features are not fully standardized, and thus the symbolization of a geologic feature on one map may differ from the symbolization of that same feature on another map. In addition, the process of assigning map symbols to geologic features has utilized non-standard and often incomplete ESRI symbology stylesheets (e.g., Geology 24K or Mining), and is often time-intensive and inefficient.

In an effort to standardize how geologic map features are symbolized on digital geologic-GIS maps the GRI has recently developed a geologic map symbolization standard that is based on the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization. The process for producing geologic map symbolization has also been automated through GRI-developed custom tools that efficiently and accurately produce geologic feature symbology.

## The FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization

The FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization is a standard put forth by the committee as the national standard for the digital cartographic representation of geologic map features. The standard contains cartographic specifications for a wide variety of symbols that may be present on a typical geologic map, and was designed to be useful to anyone who either produces or uses geologic map information (see Figure 2).

The standard implements a system where geologic features are assigned a reference number (abbreviated REF NO.) in the standard.

A few agencies such as the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC) and the Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys (ADGG) have developed digital ESRI ArcGIS stylesheets based on the FGDC standard. However, these stylesheets utilize special fonts, and as such users of GIS data symbolized using stylesheets with these special fonts must have these fonts installed on their operating system otherwise these symbols won't draw correctly.

## Implementation

To implement the FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization geologic features were first matched or "cross-walked" with their reference number (REF\_NO) in the standard. As an example, a "strike and dip of inclined bedding" feature has a reference number value of 6.2 in the standard (see Figure 3).

To store reference numbers in GRI digital geologic-GIS data a Symbology (SYM) field was added to all feature GIS attribute tables. Reference numbers stored in the field are "zero-padded" for numbers between 1 and 9. This enables reference numbers, represented as text/string values, to sort as intended. In the example of a "strike and dip of inclined bedding", the feature's GRI reference number would be "06.02". Furthermore, Feature Type (FTYPE) and positional accuracy (POS) field domain values, feature properties that dictate feature symbolization, are appended after underscores to a zero-padded reference number.

In the above example for a strike and dip of inclined bedding, the Symbology (SYM) field would be assigned a value of "06.02\_1\_1". The first "1" denotes the feature's Feature Type (FTYPE) coded domain value (FTYPE 1 = strike and dip of inclined bedding), whereas the second "1" denotes its Positional Accuracy (POS) coded domain value (POS 1 = known or certain).

To store matched (cross-walked) feature types and/or positional accuracy values with their corresponding reference numbers the GRI developed a GRI-FGDC symbology Excel spreadsheet. The reference number of any feature can be looked up by first selecting the tab of its feature class or data layer, then looking up the feature's Feature Type (FTYPE) and/or Positional Accuracy (POS). In addition to the spreadsheet, the GRI has also developed an ESRI stylesheet based on the FGDC standard.

One significant issue with fully implementing the FGDC standard, however, has been that many features present on GRI digital geologic-GIS maps are not found within the standard. To address this issue the GRI has assigned new reference numbers to features not found in the standard and where possible has adhered to standard naming and organization conventions. For features where no existing feature group exists within the standard (e.g., map symbology) new groups are added. New feature groups are simply assigned the next available group-level number, starting with the first number after the standard's last group number.

A significant change in implementing the standard "as is" is that the GRI controls some discrete line-related properties such as fold symbols and fault displacement symbols (e.g., lateral movement, bar-and-ball, and "U" and "D") by representing these as points. This allows the symbols to be located and rotated as desired (true to the source map), and not as a component of line symbology where their placement and rotation generally can't be fully controlled. Another difference in implementation is the GRI does not use patterns for area feature or unit symbology. The primary reasons being that the GRI report team found it difficult to match patterns when producing graphics displaying map units (e.g., a stratigraphic column), and that patterns present negative complexities that affect the display of area features.

The panels to the right of this panel focus on the GRI's efforts to automate standardized digital geologic-GIS symbolization (Panel 2), as well as present additional considerations pertaining to utilizing symbolization for data production (digitization) and quality assurance (Panel 3).

## The Geologic Resources Inventory

The Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) is one of twelve inventories funded under the National Park Service (NPS) Natural Resource Challenge. The goal of the GRI is to increase understanding of the geologic processes at work in parks and provide accurate geologic information for use in park decision-making. Sound park stewardship relies on understanding natural resources and their role in the ecosystem, of which geology is the foundation. The GRI program is a partnership between the NPS and Colorado State University (CSU), and relies heavily upon the U.S. Geological Survey, individual state geological surveys, and other organizations in developing its source map products. CSU research associates work side-by-side with NPS GRD GRI staff to facilitate a scoping meeting that identifies park mapping needs, as well as park-specific geologic issues, features, and processes.

## Overview of GRI Products

The GRI produces several products to assist park management and staff in the management and protection of their park. The first product is a digital geologic-GIS map product that is available in three data formats: (1) an ESRI 10.X file geodatabase and accompanying 10.0 ArcMap document for use with ESRI ArcGIS software, (2) a KML/KMZ file for use with Google Earth, and (3) an ESRI 10.2 map service for use with ESRI online web map applications such as ArcGIS Online, or other portal/viewer applications. In addition to the spatial data, each digital geologic-GIS product also contains an introductory readme file, FGDC-compliant metadata, and an ancillary map information document which contains unit descriptions and other ancillary source map graphics and information.

In addition to the geologic-GIS data the GRI also produces a basic cartographic layout and a geologic report. The layout displays a park's geologic map complete with prominent features and localities within and around the park. The report is a comprehensive document that presents a park's: (1) geologic significance, (2) geologic history, and (3) discusses prominent geologic features, processes and issues, and presents this in a scientific format directed at park resource managers.

## Geologic Resources Inventory Map Team Members

- James Chappell (Geologist/GIS Specialist) – Project Manager and Developer, Colorado State University
- George Hybels (GIS Specialist/Cartographer) – Layout Editor, Colorado State University
- Ronald Karpilo (Geologist/GIS Specialist) – Project Manager, Colorado State University
- Dalton Meyer (Geology-Major Student Intern) – Colorado State University
- Stephanie O'Meara (Geologist/GIS Specialist) – Team Lead, Production, Project and Data Manager, Colorado State University
- James Winter (Geologist/GIS Specialist) – Project Manager, Colorado State University
- Chase Winters (Geology-Major Student Intern) – Colorado State University





Figure 1. Example of a Geologic Map Legend/Explanation.



REF NO.	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	CARTOGRAPHIC SPECIFICATIONS
6	Horizontal bedding	[Symbol]	Horizontal line
6.2	Inclined bedding—showing strike and dip	[Symbol]	Line with strike and dip notation
6.4	Vertical bedding—showing strike	[Symbol]	Vertical line with strike notation
6.6	Overturned bedding—showing strike and dip	[Symbol]	Line with strike and dip notation, curved to show overturn
6.8	Bedding unconformity—more than 100 degrees—showing strike and dip	[Symbol]	Line with strike and dip notation, stepped

Figure 3. Example of a GRI Feature Type (FTYPE) "cross-walk" to FGDC Reference Numbers (REF\_NO).

UNIT	Name	Sort Number	Red	Green	Blue
1	Gal - Alluvial Deposits (Quaternary)	1	245	245	174
3	PN1 - Newton Sandstone (Lower Pennsylvanian)	2	243	248	252
4	PNw - Whitwell Shale (Lower Pennsylvanian)	3	179	225	223
5	PNc - Sewanee Conglomerate (Lower Pennsylvanian)	4	167	224	235
6	PNsp - Signal Point Shale (Lower Pennsylvanian)	5	208	236	247
7	PNwp - Warren Point Sandstone (Lower Pennsylvanian)	6	202	233	236
8	PNm - Raccoon Mountain Formation (Lower Pennsylvanian)	7	157	221	240
9	Mp - Pennington Formation (Upper Mississippian)	8	168	225	254
10	Mb - Bangor Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	9	168	220	276
11	Mbh - Bangor Limestone and Hartselle Formation (Upper Mississippian)	10	188	229	235
12	Mm - Montezuma Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	11	188	240	220
13	Ml - Mt. Leasure Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	12	149	216	245
14	Msl - St. Louis Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	13	218	239	242
15	Mw - Warsaw Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	14	199	217	239
16	Mf - Fort Payne Chert (Lower Mississippian)	15	206	230	254
17	Mdc - Chattanooga Shale (Lower Mississippian and Upper Devonian)	16	217	240	254
18	Sr - Rockwood Formation (Middle and Lower Silurian)	17	159	200	232
19	Os - Sequatchie Formation (Upper Ordovician)	18	199	198	229
20	Oa - Nashville Group, undivided (Middle Ordovician)	19	185	225	200
21	Ocy - Cathey's Formation (Middle Ordovician)	20	182	198	232
22	Doy - Cathey's Formation and Cannon Limestone, undivided (Upper and Middle Ordovician)	21	190	200	220
23	Ocyh - Cathey's Formation, Cannon Formation, Hermitage Formation, undivided (Upper and Middle Ordovician)	22	160	190	215
24	On - Cannon Limestone (Middle Ordovician)	23	217	218	218
25	Donhr - Cannon Limestone, Hermitage Formation and Stones River Group, undivided (Middle Ordovician)	24	175	195	220
26	Oh - Hermitage Formation (Middle Ordovician)	25	136	158	205
27	Och - Chattanooga Limestone, undivided (Middle Ordovician)	26	210	180	200
28	Ost - Stones River Group, undivided (Middle Ordovician)	27	212	160	204
29	Oca - Carters Limestone (Middle Ordovician)	28	209	199	224
30	Ob - Lebanon Limestone (Middle Ordovician)	29	182	161	204

## 2 GRI FGDC Style

The GRI-FGDC Style (see Figure 4) is a custom ESRI Style modeled from the Geological Survey of Canada - (GSC) FGDC Style with regards to symbol reference number, naming, and organization. The GRI-FGDC Style, in contrast to the GSC-FGDC Style, was developed from fonts available within a standard ArcMap install and thus requires no custom fonts to deploy and install. The GRI-FGDC Style is a work in progress where new symbols are added as they are needed for newly identified features that aren't yet represented in the Style. Symbols that are not present in the FGDC standard are added to the GRI-FGDC Style using the source map for symbol specifications. Figure 5 shows the ArcGIS Symbol Property Editor which is used to create symbols.




Figure 4. GRI-FGDC Style Manager showing the GRI-FGDC custom style with symbol 06.02 highlighted.




Figure 5. ArcMap Symbol Property Editor showing bedding point marker design for symbol 06.02 in the GRI-FGDC Style.




Figure 6. The Digital GIS Geologic Map for the Fort Oglethorpe Quadrangle and parts of the Hooker and East Ridge Quadrangles in ArcMap showing GRI-FGDC symbology.

UNIT	Name	Sort Number	Red	Green	Blue
1	Gal - Alluvial Deposits (Quaternary)	1	245	245	174
3	PN1 - Newton Sandstone (Lower Pennsylvanian)	2	243	248	252
4	PNw - Whitwell Shale (Lower Pennsylvanian)	3	179	225	223
5	PNc - Sewanee Conglomerate (Lower Pennsylvanian)	4	167	224	235
6	PNsp - Signal Point Shale (Lower Pennsylvanian)	5	208	236	247
7	PNwp - Warren Point Sandstone (Lower Pennsylvanian)	6	202	233	236
8	PNm - Raccoon Mountain Formation (Lower Pennsylvanian)	7	157	221	240
9	Mp - Pennington Formation (Upper Mississippian)	8	168	225	254
10	Mb - Bangor Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	9	168	220	276
11	Mbh - Bangor Limestone and Hartselle Formation (Upper Mississippian)	10	188	229	235
12	Mm - Montezuma Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	11	188	240	220
13	Ml - Mt. Leasure Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	12	149	216	245
14	Msl - St. Louis Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	13	218	239	242
15	Mw - Warsaw Limestone (Upper Mississippian)	14	199	217	239
16	Mf - Fort Payne Chert (Lower Mississippian)	15	206	230	254
17	Mdc - Chattanooga Shale (Lower Mississippian and Upper Devonian)	16	217	240	254
18	Sr - Rockwood Formation (Middle and Lower Silurian)	17	159	200	232
19	Os - Sequatchie Formation (Upper Ordovician)	18	199	198	229
20	Oa - Nashville Group, undivided (Middle Ordovician)	19	185	225	200
21	Ocy - Cathey's Formation (Middle Ordovician)	20	182	198	232
22	Doy - Cathey's Formation and Cannon Limestone, undivided (Upper and Middle Ordovician)	21	190	200	220
23	Ocyh - Cathey's Formation, Cannon Formation, Hermitage Formation, undivided (Upper and Middle Ordovician)	22	160	190	215
24	On - Cannon Limestone (Middle Ordovician)	23	217	218	218
25	Donhr - Cannon Limestone, Hermitage Formation and Stones River Group, undivided (Middle Ordovician)	24	175	195	220
26	Oh - Hermitage Formation (Middle Ordovician)	25	136	158	205
27	Och - Chattanooga Limestone, undivided (Middle Ordovician)	26	210	180	200
28	Ost - Stones River Group, undivided (Middle Ordovician)	27	212	160	204
29	Oca - Carters Limestone (Middle Ordovician)	28	209	199	224
30	Ob - Lebanon Limestone (Middle Ordovician)	29	182	161	204

Figure 7. GRI Unit Sorting Spreadsheet showing symbology tab containing ArcMap TOC description for geologic unit layers and associated RGB values.

## 3 GRI Symbology Tools

A pair of custom ESRI Addins were developed by the GRI to automate the standardization and creation of feature symbology. Both Addins were developed with ArcObjects and VB.Net. The tools run only on visible layers in an ArcMap document. This enables layer by layer processing with the ability to exclude layers from processing. Both tools are designed to be iterable so that layer symbology can be refined if needed.

**Update Sym Field Addin**  
The Update Sym Field Addin populates each feature's Symbology (SYM) field based on unique Feature Type (FTYPE) and/or Positional Accuracy (POS) values. The tool searches through each layer identifying available Feature Type (FTYPE) and/or Positional Accuracy (POS) values and cross-walks them to the corresponding FGDC reference number in the GRI-FGDC Symbology Spreadsheet. The resulting Symbology (SYM) field value is a concatenation of the FGDC reference number and the Feature Type (FTYPE) and/or Positional Accuracy (POS) field values.

**Update Layer Symbology Addin**  
The Update Layer Symbology Addin reads in feature Symbology (SYM) field values and updates layer symbology, based on the FGDC reference number, with the corresponding symbol from the GRI-FGDC style. Additionally, this tool reads in geologic symbol, name, age, and RGB color information from the unit sorting spreadsheet and updates geologic unit feature aliases and symbology.

## 3 Utility in Map Digitization

Creating feature symbology can be a time-consuming process as this often involves searching for an appropriate symbol, then in many cases adjusting the symbol size, angle, color, rotation, and/or x/y offset. Additionally, errors made during this process are then propagated to the data during map digitization.

Figure 9 shows a strike and dip symbol that has not been rotated or centered properly during digitizing setup. As a result, every strike and dip symbol in the data has been rotated incorrectly and placed approximately 10 meters from its mapped location.




Figure 9. Example of an ArcMap document showing several strike and dip symbols and the Symbol Property Editor. The source map image features are shown in black and the digital data points are shown in yellow.

## Utility in Quality Assurance

Symbolizing a map can improve data quality by providing a direct visual comparison between the digital data and its source map image. Figure 10 illustrates how running the GRI Symbology Tools on an existing dataset helps identify errors in the digital data.




Figure 10. Example showing the Create Features dialog box and an ArcMap document before and after running the symbology tools. The image on the right figure shows a feature that has an incorrect Feature Type (FTYPE) and Strike (ST) azimuth.

## What about representations?

Representations are another way to symbolize data in ArcMap. They are composed of a set of representation rules that stipulate how features within a feature class are symbolized. Representations are unique because they can be used to alter the geometry of data through dynamic feature geometry and because representation symbology is stored with the data in an ESRI geodatabase.

When representations are added to a feature class, two fields are appended to its attribute table. The first field (RuleID) stores an integer (with a coded value domain) that is a reference to a representation rule. The second field (Override) stores feature-specific customizations to representation rules and can even store changes to the representation geometry of a feature.

Although representations may be a useful tool for creating certain cartographic products representations are not supported in shapefiles or other non-geodatabase formats which the GRI produces, and presently there are no means to extract representation symbology for use with these formats.

## Conclusion

Before the creation of the GRI Symbology Tools the symbolization of geologic features was done manually and was derived as per the source map. This process was time-intensive and resulted in the same features being symbolized differently from map to map.

With the goal of standardizing map symbolization, the GRI developed a geologic map standard based on the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization. Analogous to the FGDC standard, the GRI standard cross-walks geologic features to a reference number and stores them in a Symbology (SYM) field in feature GIS attribute tables. These reference numbers are then matched to the symbols in the GRI-FGDC stylesheet to symbolize a map. To implement this standard efficiently the GRI has developed a pair of ESRI custom Addins that automate the process of map symbolization while insuring that geologic features are accurately depicted and standardized across maps.

## Software and References

- ArcGIS 10.X. Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI), Inc. 380, New York St., Redlands, CA 92373, http://www.esri.com
- Buck 2010. Metadata Office 2010. Metadata Redefined. Web 2012. http://www.metadataoffice.com/2010/Federal-Geographic-Data-Committee- [prepared for the Federal Geographic Data Committee by the U.S. Geological Survey]. 2010. FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization: Reston, VA. Federal Geographic Data Committee Document Number FGDC-ST-013-2006. 206p. [https://www.fgdl.gov/fgdl/pub/fgdlstd013.pdf]
- Karpilo, R.D. 2010. View of 26,320 ft Bernal (Formerly named Mount McKinley) on a clear day from Stony Dome at mile 62 of the Park Road, Denali National Park and Preserve, Alaska.
- Straugh, P., Lovelace, W., Anderson, L., and Hatcher, R. 2013. Detailed Geologic Map of the Chickamauga and Chattanooga National Military Park and Surrounding Area. Federal Geographic Data Committee Document Number FGDC-ST-013-2006. 206p. [https://www.fgdl.gov/fgdl/pub/fgdlstd013.pdf]
- Research Group, Inc. 1:24,000. GRI Source Map ID 746089.
- Winter, James R., Chappell, James R., O'Meara, Stephanie A., 2017. Digital Geologic-GIS Map of the Fort Oglethorpe Quadrangle and parts of the Hooker and East Ridge Quadrangles, Georgia and Tennessee National Park Service Geologic Resources Inventory. Digital Geologic-GIS Map 1604-001, scale 1:24,000. (GRI MapCode F083)