The following was presented at DMT'09
(May 10-13, 2009).

The contents are provisional and will be
superseded by a paper in the
DMT'09 Proceedings.

See also earlier Proceedings (1997-2008)
http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/info/dmt/

The Cookie Cutter: a method for obtaining a quantitative description of glacial bedforms

M J Smith[1], J Rose[2] and M B Gousie[3]
Contact: michael.smith@kingston.ac.uk

Institutions
[1]School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, Kingston University
[2]Department of Geography, Royal Holloway University of London
[3]Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wheaton College, MA, USA

1. Introduction

Glacial lineaments are part of a continuum of bedforms generated beneath ice sheets and are commonly observed in previously glaciated terrain. Typically they are known as drumlins, flutes, streamlined hills, whalebacks, megadrumlins, megaflutes, ribbed / Rogen moraine. They are formed in both deformable substrates (i.e. drumlins) and bedrock (i.e. whalebacks) and typically display a linear shape with relatively high elongation ratios.

Further understanding of these processes, operating at the glacier-bed interface, can come from detailed knowledge of the stress patterns involved during formation, in addition to the volume of sediment deposited during different phases of landform genesis. The latter can be recorded through the use of any one of a number of different survey techniques (Figure 1).

a. The terrain

b. Field mapping

1 km

c. Satellite imagery

d. DEMs

e. Output

Fig 1: previously glaciated terrain (a), methods of data collection (b, c, d) and data output (e).

2. Quantitative Output

➢Measurement of landform dimensions such as length, width, orientation (e.g. Rose and Letzer, 1977)
➢Statistical summaries of glacial landform distributions (e.g. Rose and Letzer, 1977)
➢Identification and characterisation of glacial events (e.g. Rose and Letzer, 1977)
➢Research has focused upon 2D (planimetric) lineament characterisation
➢Potential for 3D characterisation and therefore volume estimates (Rose, 1989; Evans, 1987); enabled through the availability of high resolution (sub-5m) digital elevation models (DEMs)

3. Cookie Cutter

In order to calculate volume, it is necessary to extract ("cut out") individual lineaments (aka "Cookie Cutter"). The criteria for a cookie cutter are:

➢template cutter▶
lineament outline
➢medium to cut extracts from▶ **DEM**

There are two outputs from this technique:

➢individual lineament DEMs
➢DEM with "holes"

Fig 2: Illustration of "cookie cutter" technique

4. Model Inputs

1) Lineament Outline: lineaments mapped from DEMs (Smith and Clark, 2005) meet this criterion.

2) DEM: resolution (vertical/horizontal) is crucial to volumetric calculations in order to:
➢to maximise the inclusion of landforms
➢to minimise error

5. Volume Calculation

In order to calculate volume, we need to subtract "interpolated terrain" from the original DEM. This leaves a "DEM" of individual drumlins.

Relative Elevation:
The cookie cutter output is comprised of terrain with "holes". In order to produce volumetric calculations, we actually need terrain **without** lineaments. We define this as *relative elevation* and is the difference in the landscape from pre- to post- lineament formation

Fig 3: Calculation of lineament volume

6. Interpolator

Initial testing has incorporated two interpolation techniques:
➢inverse distance weighting (IDW)
➢thin-plate method, incorporating a tension parameter

As areas of relative elevation are generally small, it may be sufficient to use the simple IDW interpolator. In either case, care must be taken so that artefacts on the edges of the drumlin are not part of the interpolation.

7. Study Area

The study site (Figure 4) is located in an area of central Scotland last glaciated during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and Younger Dryas (YD). Detailed geomorphological mapping has been performed from NEXTMap DEM data (5m resolution; after Smith et al, 2006).

Fig 4: Location of study area

8. Initial Results

Measure	Study Area (n = 175)	
Relief Min (m)	-16.3	1.7
Relief Max (m)	65	65
Relief Mean (m)	3.7	9.3
Relief Standard Deviation (m)	1.8	7.0
Volume Min (10^6 m^3)	-0.11	0.0016
Volume Max (10^6 m^3)	2.75	2.75
Volume Mean (10^6 m^3)	0.12	0.13
Volume Standard Deviation (m)	0.24	0.24
Total Volume (10^6 m^3)	22.75	23.00

•-16m is error
•Bold values are recalculated without negative values

9. Sources of Error

The following have been identified as potential sources of error and are being investigated further:

➢accuracy of digital lineament boundaries
➢lineament adjacency (shared boundaries)
➢DEM resolution/lineament size
➢surface clutter
➢landform degradation (change since formation)

10. Conclusions

Few studies have quantitatively assessed sub-glacial sediment volumes and, of those completed, none have been performed regionally. Estimation of landform volume allows an estimate of sediment quantity and therefore provides a basis from which a first estimation of sediment flux at the base of a glacier can be performed. In addition to the caution implied above, caution should be applied to the interpretation caused by net changes in the total landscape between bedform formation, and the present time.

References
Evans, I.S., 1987: A new approach to drumlin morphometry. In: J. Menzies and J. Rose (Editors), Drumlin Symposium. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 119-130.
Punkari, M., 1982: Glacial geomorphology and dynamics in the eastern parts of the Baltic Shield interpreted using Landsat imagery. Photogrammetric Journal Finland, 9: 77-93.
Rose, J. and Letzer, J., 1977: Superimposed drumlins. Journal of Glaciology, 18: 471-480.
Rose, J., 1989: Glacial stress patterns and sediment transfer associated with the formation of superimposed flutes. Sedimentary Geology, 62: 151-176.
Smith, M.J. and Clark, C.D., 2005: Methods for the visualisation of digital elevation models for landform mapping. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 30(7): 885-900.
Smith, M.J., Rose, J. and Booth, S., 2006: Geomorphological mapping of glacial landforms from remotely sensed data: an evaluation of the principal data sources and an assessment of their quality. Geomorphology, 76, 148-165.
Smith, M.J., Rose, J. and Gousie, M., in press: The Cookie Cutter: a method for obtaining a quantitative 3D description of glacial bedforms. Geomorphology.