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“D3” Project Background and Purpose

- Primary goal of the Digital Data Distribution (D3) Project: Make DGGS geospatial data available to the widest possible audience

- Archive and index all digital project files and produce data set “packages”

- Provide authors with a graphical user interface to perform indexing and packaging tasks

- Packages will contain widely accepted “standard” file formats

- Utilize the World Wide Web to distribute on-line data sets and prepare off-line datasets
Problems to Solve

1) Cleaning up and archiving the mess of data…cracking the whip!

2) What should we distribute?

3) Metadata: the source of all information…and headaches!

4) Policy, procedure, and requirements

5) Designing a process workflow and flexible database structure

6) The data is out…now what?
Cleaning up and archiving the mess of data...cracking the whip!

- Many on-going projects at DGGS: focused on “cleaning up” legacy data

- Documentation of many geospatial data sets has been neglected because of the geologists’ need to initiate new mapping projects

- Review legacy data sets to document and upgrade the data to modern formats and documentation practices

- Documentation and ensuring data quality for the legacy data sets is key in making them meaningful and usable

- Need to make “executive decisions” regarding unknown aspects of legacy data after project managers retire/leave (Steinmetz et. al, 2002)
## What should we distribute?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples: digital data types</th>
<th>Digital Data Files (DGGS Standard)</th>
<th>Native Data Set Files</th>
<th>Native Data Set Environment Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tabular data</td>
<td>ASCII comma, tab delimited</td>
<td>Excel, Lotus 123, or other spreadsheets</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector data</td>
<td>ESRI shape or export files (E00)</td>
<td>ESRI coverage and geodatabase, MapInfo tab files</td>
<td>MapInfo workspace, ESRI map document, fonts, symbol sets, shade sets, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raster data</td>
<td>TIFF and world file</td>
<td>TIFF and MapInfo tab files</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid data</td>
<td>ASCII comma or tab delimited, Geosoft grid (size of ASCII files may be prohibitive)</td>
<td>ESRI Grid files</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational databases</td>
<td>Native formats accepted here (i.e. MS Access, MySQL), otherwise ASCII comma, tab delimited</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Report, query or data entry documents (HTML, MS Word, Java, PSP, or ASP documents)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Metadata: the source of all information… and headaches

- Legacy metadata project: 8th month of completion and greater than 60% of the legacy data has been recovered and its affiliated metadata has been written

- July, 2006: DGGS will test and implement the Metavist metadata writing tool (D. Rugg, USDA Forest Service) to write FGDC-compliant metadata

- Metadata saved as XML documents and loaded into the Oracle database using Oracle XML DB functionality
Policy, procedure, and requirements

- Uniform data distribution procedure that complies with Alaska statutory requirements
- Clear digital distribution methods for DGGS staff to consistently use
- Flexibility to meet changing expectations and technical requirements of end-users
- Understood that documentation and data-quality information for the data sets is required
- Automate distribution methods to the greatest extent possible so that data can be delivered on demand
- Incorporate feedback from geologists and end-users, cannot please everyone
Designing a process workflow and flexible database structure

- Chicken and the egg: database structure or process workflow?

**STEP 1: DISTRIBUTION PREPARATION**
Metadata, cleaning up project files, archiving data on network

**STEP 2: FIND DATASET**
Entry point to internal application. Log in, find dataset via publication information

**STEP 3: FIND PROJECT FILES**
Browse to archive location via application, locate files for distribution

**STEP 4: IDENTIFY LAYERS**
Enter and describe layer names of the dataset

**STEP 5: INDEX FILES BY LAYER**
Associate files to be distributed with dataset layers

**STEP 6: CREATE DISTRIBUTION PACKAGE**
Identify files to be distributed together

**STEP 7: REVIEW DISTRIBUTION PACKAGE**
GIS Manager reviews distribution packages for data quality

**STEP 8: PUBLISH DISTRIBUTION PACKAGE**
Final approval and release to the public

Note: Author tasks outlined in red
The data is out there...now what?

- “D3” project completion by the end of 2006
- Project managers/geologists must review the final layout and data after publication to the web
- Getting the word out: identify key end-user groups and notify them (i.e. web site, email lists, monthly reports, meetings, phone calls)
- Provide feedback methods for end-users regarding data quality, ease of use, and future suggestions
- Utilize database log files and web statistics to identify most “popular” datasets
Benefits of distributing digital data

- Forces you to “clean house” and index the data

- To all geologists: “If you want your data on-line, you have to write metadata.”

  Result: End-users will get consistent, quality data that is well documented

- Breaking up the project into several on- and off-line data sets provides flexibility and benefits those with small bandwidth or no Internet access

- The available “raw” data can be quickly implemented into other projects and used appropriately (i.e. documentation)

- Project managers, geologists, and data managers better understand the “entire” publications process
What we learned…

- It is worth using resources to “clean up” legacy data sets
- Not enforcing a consistent archival structure for project data is not good
- You can’t make everyone happy. An even balance between regulations, consistency, and user freedom is always difficult.
- Failed contracts: know when to pull the plug
- Database managers and programmers can benefit by thinking like a geologist…and vice versa.
- Decrease the whaling and gnashing of teeth…document your data as you create it!
Thank you!