

DIGITAL MAPPING TECHNIQUES 2021

The following was presented at DMT'21 (June 7 - 10, 2021 - A Virtual Event)

The contents of this document are provisional

See Presentations and Proceedings from the DMT Meetings (1997-2021) http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/info/dmt/



PRESENTER NOTES:

It's my pleasure to introduce the day's discussions about the standard geologic map schema (GeMS). This is a team effort, and we're very fortunate that Evan Thoms (USGS) and Ralph Haugerud (USGS) are willing to work with us on this. They write the main scripts, and Evan manages the GeMS Website (<u>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/info/standards/GeMS/</u>) and the Github sites (<u>https://github.com/usgs/gems-tools-pro; https://github.com/usgs/gems-tools-arcmap</u>). I'll give a brief perspective on how standards development can work, using GeMS as the example.

[Slide background: Geologic map of the western United States and surrounding areas, extracted from the "Geologic map of North America" (Reed and others, 2005; database from Garrity and Soller, 2009). Image downloaded from the National Geologic Map Database (<u>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Prodesc/proddesc_86688.htm</u>).]



PRESENTER NOTES:

The long road to GeMS began in 1996, with a meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, where a halfdozen National Geologic Map Database (NGMDB) Working Groups were formed. This one, the Data Model Working Group (<u>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/archive/datamodelWG.html</u>), had two members with us today -- Ralph Haugerud (USGS) and Randy Schumann (USGS). They released a conceptual data model design in 1999 (Johnson, B.R., and others, Digital geologic map data model, version 4.3, unpublished AASG-USGS working document dated September 27, 1999, 69 p.; <u>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/www-nadm/prd/Model43a.pdf</u>).



PRESENTER NOTES:

By that time (1999), in part through the Association of American State Geologists (AASG) / USGS Digital Mapping Techniques Workshops (DMT; <u>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/lnfo/dmt/</u>), we had begun working with Canadian geologists, and formed the North American Data Model Steering Committee (NADM; <u>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/www-nadm/</u>). The NADM design, published in 2004, was an evolution of the data model ver. 4.3, in the last slide. It was envisioned as a very complete, robust design that would serve many analytical needs, at the enterprise level (North American Geologic Map Data Model Steering Committee, 2004, NADM Conceptual Model 1.0—A conceptual model for geologic map information: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2004-1334, 58 p.; <u>https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2004/1334</u>. Also published as Geological Survey of Canada Open File 4737, 1 CD-ROM).



PRESENTER NOTES:

However, the NADM Conceptual Model 1.0 was judged to be too complex for implementation by the Nation's geological surveys at that time, and so I phased us out of this work, although continuing to fund some involvement in the GeoSciML activity (<u>https://geosciml.org/</u>), which was informed by the NADM design.

In 2008, Peter Lyttle (USGS), the National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program (NCGMP) Coordinator, asked us to design a data schema that would at least address the needs of authors of individual geologic maps. Ralph Haugerud (USGS), Evan Thoms (USGS), Steve Richard (Arizona Geological Survey), and I developed this, presented it at DMT'09, and published it (USGS National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program [NCGMP], 2010, NCGMP09—Draft standard format for digital publication of geologic maps, version 1.1, *in* Soller, D.R., ed., Digital Mapping Techniques '09—Workshop Proceedings: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2010–1335, p. 93–146, 4 appendixes;

https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2010/1335/pdf/usgs_of2010-1335_NCGMP09.pdf).



PRESENTER NOTES:

There then ensued a period of evaluation by the DMT community. Four years later, at DMT in 2013, we had a long discussion about it, and compiled this Progress Report (Progress report on the evaluation and implementation of NCGMP09, submitted to the USGS and AASG September 25, 2013, 14 p.;

<u>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Info/standards/GeMS/docs/NCGMP09_ProgressReport_Sept2013.pdf</u>). I recommend reading it, as it remains quite relevant.



PRESENTER NOTES:

Following that, we went through a 4-year period of review, re-evaluation, and updates to NCGMP09, renaming it GeMS and posting it to the website for use while awaiting publication (Soller, D.R., and Haugerud, R.A., Recommendations for State and federal compliance with NCGMP's standard "Geologic Map Schema" [GeMS] for geologic map databases, with contributions from, and approval by, the NGMDB Technical Advisory Working Group, unpublished draft document dated April 5, 2019, 6 p.;

https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Info/standards/GeMS/docs/GeMSv2_draft7g_ProvisionalRelease.pdf).

- 2018-2019 USGS Peer and editorial reviews
- 2020 Published as a USGS Techniques and Methods Chapter
- This schema will be formally proposed as the FGDC Standard for geologic map databases.



PRESENTER NOTES:

That process was completed just last Fall.

U.S. Geological Survey National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program, 2020, GeMS (Geologic Map Schema)—A standard format for the digital publication of geologic maps: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, Book 11, Chap. B10, 74 p.; https://doi.org/10.3133/tm11B10, https://pubs.usgs.gov/tm/11b10/tm11b10.pdf.



PRESENTER NOTES:

To support this standard, we've been working on compliance-checking tools (which you've seen at previous DMTs), and various things that are needed so we can keep track of the information as it is reposited, and then searched for and downloaded for use (https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Info/standards/GeMS/).

Early 2021 – released G	GeMS complian	nce-checking tools and checklist for submitt
		To ensure efficient uptake of your GeMS files into the NGMDB, we ask for the
EI EMENT DATU	(NAME (relative to vin file)	following information that isn't generated by the GeMS Validate Database and
ELEMENT PAIN/	residue (realitive to .zip me)	Geologic Names Check tools. We need this information in order to link the GIS data
Contents of submittal packag	je	to the published map, and to understand how GeMS might need to evolve.
REQUIRED		If you're submitting the files to USGS, these items could be included in or accompany your
□ Transmittal letter		transmittal letter:
Uvalidation.html from Validate Database tool		
□ValidationErrors.html from Validate Database		1) Full bibliographic citation for the published map.
Depart from Cooleris Names Charls tool		2) URL to its NGMDB Product Description Page (e.g.
Keport from Geologic Names Check tool		https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Prodesc/proddesc/108054.htm). NOTF: if not in the NGMDR please so indica
Imap publication folder (described below)		3) Is the GeMS database considered to be:
Contents of map publication fo	lder	a a "reprint" of the publication listed in the NGMDB Man Catalog
REQUIRED		h an undated (revised) version of the publication listed in the Catalog or
High resolution graphic		c a new publication that is not currently listed in the Catalor?
Browse graphic		(A) UPL where users may access the CIS files from the State Survey cite, were done to state Survey cite.
GFGDC-compliant metadata in valid XML		(4) OKL WHELE USETS HIAY ACCESS UNE OF THE STATE STUTYEY SILE. NOTE: If GS data aren tyet available nervide URL to downloadable PDF of the man (and report if any)
Map database folder (described below)		5) Indicate whether GeMS Level One. Two, or Three.
Shapefile version folder of database archive		6) High-resolution PDFs of published map and other oversize sheets (if not already shown at
(described below)		the NGMDB Product Description Page)
AS NEEDED		7) High-resolution PDFs of nublished report or pamphlet accompanying the man NOTE there will
Map pamphlet	G	not be downloadable from the NGMDB site unless they're published by USGS or the State GS has given permission.
Contents of map database fold	der 🧧	 B) Describe, in a sentence or two, any significant deviations from full GeMS compliance as
REQUIRED		revealed by the GeMS Validate Database tool and the Geologic Names Check tool. If the
□.gdb folder	1	deviation was necessary to address agency or science needs for this publication, please so
.mxd map document or .aprx project file		indicate; this insight could help guide future GeMS development.
FGDC-compliant metadata in valid XML (copy of above)		
Resources folder (described below)		
AS NEEDED		
Map pamphlet		
Base data folder (required if not published		
elsewhere)		
OPTIONAL	7	
ArcReader document, KML files, QGIS project, i.e., files for viewing data with free software		
Contents of Resources folde	r 🦉	
REQUIRED		
Development of a student seats and has sta		

PRESENTER NOTES:

For example, this compliance checklist and transmittal letter which, along with the tools, were released in January of this year.



PRESENTER NOTES:

We're now reasoning out what the workflow needs to be, for repositing, search, and use.

Next, how best to explain GeMS to our end users?

E.g., tutorials, Continuing Education Credit courses prepared in cooperation with AGI, presentations to AIPG, ASCE, etc.

Suggestions welcome!

PRESENTER NOTES: Regarding how best to explain GeMS to our end users, your suggestions are welcome (gems@usgs.gov).

END OF PRESENTATION