

### **DIGITAL MAPPING TECHNIQUES 2014**

DMT 2014

The following was presented at DMT'14 (June 1-4, 2014 - Delaware Geological Survey, Newark, DE)

University of Delaware

#### The contents of this document are provisional

University of Delaware: Perkins Student Center

> See Presentations and Proceedings from the DMT Meetings (1997-2014) http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/info/dmt/

### Implementing disparate geologic mapping standards through community

A presentation for Digital Mapping Techniques 2014 By Janel Day GIS Analyst, Kodiak Island Borough (formerly GIS Manager, AZGS)

## The Geologic Map

The

1. Representation

of the

2. Interpretation

of the

3. Observation

# Standards for The Geologic Map

- 1. Representation
  - a. FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization
- 2. Interpretation
  - a. International Union of Geological Sciences (IUGS)
     Commission for Geoscience Information (CGI)
     Concept Definitions
- 3. Observation
  - a. Free-form

#### **Integrating Standards**

Observations, interpretation and representation information stored in NCGMP09 database



#### **Standards Implementation Community**

DISTRIBUTION OF NCGMP09 RELATED RESOURCES



# The Geologic Map at the AZGS (2009-2013)

- Data for geologic maps input into a preliminary NCGMP09-formatted database (pNCGMP09)
- Made use of cartographic representations developed by Esri
- Added additional representations for features that were not created by Esri or defined in the FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolizaton (Cartographic Standard)

# Geologic Map Tools at the AZGS (2009-2013)

- Created pNCGMP09 database:
  - Feature datasets
  - Topology
  - Domains
  - Relationships between feature classes and tables
- Custom ArcMap Editing Templates
- User Interface for inputting unit descriptions
- Managed unit, unit descriptions and color that will be used to represent the unit on the map, correlation diagrams and cross section
- Managed feature-level metadata
- Tool that allows cartographer to plot description of map units on the map layout page

# Problems with AZGS Tools

- Unable to share tools with the greater geologic mapping community
  - Data for 60+ Geologic Maps in pNCGMP09 format
    - <u>http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Info/docs/AZGS-</u> <u>NCGMP09 Discrepancies 2-28-14.pdf</u> (schema differences documented)
    - Confidence terms used differed from suggested terms in NCGMP09 design document
  - Toolbar only created datasets with a spatial reference of UTM Zone 12 (NAD83)
  - Toolbar hard coded to work with pNCGMP09 schema

# Solution

 Open up AZGS tools and internal data management to take advantage of and support the work of the greater geologic mapping community

# How?

- Take advantage of and integrate existing resources developed by the geologic mapping community, including:
  - Ralph Haugerud's Tools
  - AZGS Toolbar
  - Esri's map symbols (Cartographic Representations)
  - Geological Survey of Canada's map symbols (style file)
- Share integrated resources

#### To meet the goals, AZGS needed to...

- Transfer 60+ datasets from pNCGMP09 schema to NCGMP09 schema
- Modify Ralph's Create Database script
- Modify AZGS Toolbar code

## Transferring data

- Wrote a series of scripts to automate the process
  - <u>https://github.com/ncgmp09/transfer-data-to-ncgmp09</u>
- Script maps data from pNCGMP09 fields to NCGMP09 fields

# Ralph's Create Database script modifications

- Added:
  - SysInfo table that the AZGS Toolbar needs for managing feature-level metadata
  - Domains
  - Topology
  - Spatial Reference System for correlation of map units and cross section

### AZGS Toolbar code modifications

- Modified to work against schema that Ralph's tool produces
- Presumably, the tool produces the schema, as described in the design document
  - <u>http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2010/1335/pdf/usgs\_of2</u>
    <u>010-1335\_NCGMP09.pdf</u>

# Data migration challenges occurred when...

- Field data types were different
- Character length of data in pNCGMP09 field exceeded the max character length of NCGMP09, data transfer failed.
- Fields missing data in pNCGMP09 that were mapped to non-nullable fields in NCGMP09 caused data transfer to fail.
- Multiple fields carried similar information, but not the same information (i.e. fault v. detachment fault v. detachment fault, approximately located)

### The work that still remains

- QA/QC
- Cross Sections and Correlation of Map Units

   Already in ArcGIS format, just need to be
   transferred
- Get data online
- Legacy data that never was completely migrated to pNCGMP09 schema can be migrated directly to NCGMP09 schema

# Highlights of the migration and integration of community resources

- Used CGI vocabulary terms as value for "Type"
  - i.e. detachment fault
- Used Geological Survey of Canada's symbol naming convention as value for "Symbol" field
  - i.e. 02.10.01
  - Allows to 'match' data to symbols in Canada's style file
- Continued use of Cartographic Representations
  - RuleID corresponds to reference number documented in FGDC Cartographic Standard and the zero-padded version of Canadian Survey's style file
- Made use of LTYPE and PTTYPE field options in Ralph's tool
  - i.e. In the case of LTYPE, value that is put in the field corresponds to the convention outlined in the FGDC Digital cartographic Standard. For a detachment fault, this would read "detachment fault, location approximate"

### The future...

- Continued development, sharing and integrations of tools and resources
  - In particular, Canadian Geological Survey has map layout tools, the final and missing resources of the geologic mapping resource portfolio

# NCGMP09 is THE integrated, community based platform

Conclusion

#### **AZGS** Developed Resources

https://github.com/ncgmp09